Efficient Programs

Group 3 - Magic Hexagon Optimization

Steps taken

- 1. Algorithmic optimization of solve() function
- 2. Adapt exploration order
- 3. Bisecting search space
- 4. Optimization of sum() function
- 5. Compiler flags

Algorithmic optimization of solve() function

Challenges:

- Understand the program code.
- Function solve() contains many goto jumps.
- Figure out which goto jumps are necessary.
- Reduce the number of goto jumps.
- Preserve program correctness.

Algorithmic optimization of solve() function

Delete unnecessary goto jumps.



Algorithmic optimization of solve() function

Jump only when boundaries for all points are updated.

Same solution applied for sum constraints.

```
for (i=0; i<r*r; i++) {
    Var *v = &vs[i];
    if (v->lo < v->hi) {
        if (occupation[v->lo-o] < r*r) {
            v->lo++;
            goto restart;
        }
        if (occupation[v->hi-o] < r*r) {
            v->hi--;
            goto restart;
        }
    }
}
```

```
bool update boundaries = false;
for (i=0; i<r*r; i++) {
  Var *v = &vs[i]:
  if (v \rightarrow lo < v \rightarrow hi)
    if (occupation[v->lo-o] < r*r) {</pre>
      v->lo++;
      update boundaries = true;
    if (occupation[v->hi-o] < r*r) {</pre>
      v->hi--;
      update boundaries = true;
if(update boundaries){
  goto restart:
```

Adapt exploration order

• Goal:

By exploring the variables in a different order inside labeling() we aim to check more constraints earlier and consequently eliminate parts of the search tree

- Attempted strategies:
 - Variable with fewest values first
 - Spiral order
 - Wheel order
 - Spiral order with corners first



Adapt exploration order

| | Left to right, Top to bottom | Variable with fewest values first | Spiral order | Wheel order | Spiral order with corners first |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Precomputed | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Leafs visited | 15,808,871 | 14,286,898 | 2,720,000 | 40,307,012 | 2,270,926 |
| Running time | 51.17 sec | 40.74 sec | 6.83 sec | 121.51 sec | 6.21 sec |
| Improvement | - | 20.4% | 86.7 % | -137.5% | 87.9% |



• Goal:

Eliminate branches of the search / recursion tree earlier by calling solve() on already stronger constrained fields

• Strategy: Divide & Conquer

Divide the value range in the labeling() step into

lower and

- upper range
- and solve separately

⇒ Classic bisection of search space (binary search, > git bisect, etc.)

```
void labeling (...) {
if (hexagonEntry->lo == hexagonEntry->hi)
  return labeling(hexagon, index+1, order);
long mid = (oldHexagon[i]->lo + oldHexagon[i]->hi) / 2;
newHexagon[i].lo = oldHexagon[i]->lo;
newHexagon[i].hi = mid;
if (solve(newHexagon))
  labeling(newHexagon, index, order);
newHexagon[i].lo = mid+1;
newHexagon[i].hi = oldHexagon[i]->hi;
if (solve(newHexagon))
  labeling(newHexagon, index, order);
```



• Improvements for > ./magichex 4 3 14 33 30 34 39 6 24 20

original: original+bisect: 15808871 leafs visited4930863 leafs visited69 % reduction

spiral access: spiral access+bisect: 2270926 leafs visited 397928 leafs visited 82

82 % reduction

Optimization of sum() function

• Context of sum()

- Calculates and sets constraints for upper and lower boundary
- Ensures that the sum of variables holds certain constraints
- Early termination
 - Utilizing early termination conditions
- Optimizing conditional checks
- Reduced cycles and run time

Optimization of sum() function

Early termination

```
for (i=0, hexagonEntry_p=hexagon; i<nv; i++, hexagonEntry_p+=stride) {
    assert(hexagonEntry_p>=hexagonStart);
    assert(hexagonEntry_p<hexagonEnd);
    assert(hexagonEntry_p->id != PLACEHOLDER_ENTRY_ID);
    hi -= hexagonEntry_p->lo;
    lo -= hexagonEntry_p->hi;
}
if(hi < lo){
    return NOSOLUTION;
</pre>
```

Optimization of sum() function

Optimizing conditional checks

```
CHANGE_IDENTIFIER sethi(HexagonEntry *hexagonEntry, long x) {
   assert(hexagonEntry->id != PLACEHOLDER_ENTRY_ID);
   if (x < hexagonEntry->hi) {
      hexagonEntry->hi = x;
      if (hexagonEntry->lo > hexagonEntry->hi)
           return NOSOLUTION;
      else
           return CHANGED;
   }
   return NOCHANGE;
}
```

Compiler flags

- Tried various flags mentioned in the gcc docs
- Using the -O flag
 - 0 3, no optimization to highest optimization
 - s for size optimization
 - g for optimizing the debugging experience
 - fast for optimizing speed only
- funroll-loops, funroll-all-loops
- march=native
- fwhole-program
- fsplit-loops
- AutoFDO

Compiler flags

- -O0: Baseline
- -O1: ~60% faster
- -O2: ~70% faster
- -O3: ~71% faster
- -Ofast: ~70% faster
- -Os: ~47% faster
- -Og: ~62% faster
- -O3 -funroll-loops: ~76% faster
- -O3 -funroll-all-loops: ~75% faster
- -O3 -fsplit-loops -funroll-loops: ~76.1% faster

Compiler flags

- -Wall -O3 -DNDEBUG -funroll-loops -fsplit-loops -march=native -fwhole-program: ~79% faster
 - -O3 most of optimization flags specified by gcc
 - -DNDEBUG
 - \circ -funroll-loops
 - unroll loops whose number of iterations can be determined at compile time or upon entry to the loop, loop peeling, more code
 - \circ -fsplit-loops
 - split a loop into two if it contains a condition that's always true for one side of the iteration space and false for the other.
 - -march=native
 - tune generated code for the micro-architecture and ISA extensions of the host CPU
 - -fwhole-program
 - all public functions and variables (except main) become static and in effect are optimized more aggressively

Benchmarks

- **Baseline**:
 - 15809528 leafs visited, 849851023565 cycles, 2372629024670 instructions
- 159.582 +- 0.140 seconds Partial goto optimization:
 - 15808871 leafs visited, 748731599056 cycles, 2096155150602 instructions
- Corners-first and spiral access pattern: 12.9534 +- 0.0245 seconds
 - 2270926 leafs visited, 60759414081 cycles, 221531374766 instructions
- **Bisecting search space:**
 - 397928 leafs visited, 21159230657 cycles, 132925675516 instructions
- Full goto optimization:
 - 397929 leafs visited, 21159230657 cycles, 73292614871 instructions
- Sum optimization:
 - 397929 leafs visited, 21855899006 cycles, 73105231178 instructions Ο
- Compiler flags:

181.1950 +- 0.0531 seconds

7.8680 +- 0.0132 seconds

4.62492 +- 0.00896 seconds

4.50446 +- 0.00202 seconds

1.425913 +- 0.000960 seconds

397929 leafs visited, 6962204289 cycles, 21246335112 instructions Ο

Benchmarks



Optimization

Project online at:

http://www.complang.tuwien.ac.at/anton/lvas/effizienz-abgaben/2023w/group03/